

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,630	02/23/2007	Keisuke Maruo	89277.0085	1446
26021 HOGAN & H.	7590 03/19/200 ARTSON L.L.P.	9	EXAM	UNER
1999 AVENUE OF THE STARS			COLEMAN, KEITH A	
SUITE 1400 LOS ANGELI	S. CA 90067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3747	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/578.630 MARUO ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit KEITH COLEMAN 3747 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) KEITH COLEMAN. (3)Robert Wu. (2) Steve Cronin. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 10 March 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hoshino et al. (US Patent Publication 2004/0244771).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiners and Applicant Representative discussed limitations that would read over the cited prior art regarding the regenerative mode and the H-circuit, and possibly where-by clause language. No definitive agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/K. C./ /Stephen K. Cronin/ sxaminer, Art Unit 3747 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3747			
	IK C I	/Stenhen K Crenin/	
		Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3747	